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This January 2020 update replaces the earlier Good Practice Guide of the same name first published in February 2017 and previously revised in April 2018 and September 2019.

This paper is in response to members’ requests to provide a summary of good practice within one source document and is based upon the Personal Finance Society’s understanding of the regulators rules and current stance. Whilst a summary, it is not intended to be exhaustive and should not be relied upon at the exclusion of other sources of information.
Since we published the hugely popular and topical Personal Finance Society ‘Good Practice’ Guide on Defined Benefit Transfers in May 2018, we have updated relevant sections to reflect the FCA Positioning Statement (PS) 18/20 issued in October 2018: Improving the quality of pension transfer advice - feedback on CP18/7 and final rules and guidance as well as FCA CP 19/25 ‘Pension transfer advice: contingent charging and other proposed changes’, the consultation about which is open until 30 October 2019.

On 19 June 2019 the FCA published new data received from firms carrying out Defined Benefit and Safeguarded Pension Transfers. Based on this data, the regulator has expressed concern that firms were recommending large numbers of consumers to transfer out of their Defined Benefit Schemes, despite the regulators’ continuing stance that “advisers should start from the position that a transfer is not suitable”.

The decision to transfer a Defined Benefit or Safeguarded Pension is of course one of the more complex financial decisions a person will have to make, so it is understandable that the regulator continues to ask questions and focus on firms recommending large volumes of Defined Benefit pension transfers, to hone the basis of their risk-based supervision. However, it is equally important that reporting on failings is proportionate and contextualised, so it doesn’t misrepresent the majority or erode public trust more broadly. For example, the data takes no account of the wider financial position of scheme members or their families and limited account of any triage processes in place. Whilst not being complacent, we remain of the view that the majority of consumers receive valued and appropriate advice from the majority of advisers in this market.

The FCA will be directly assessing the firms most active in this market throughout the remainder of 2019 as well as writing to all firms where they have identified potential harm in their DB pension transfer advice from the data received, setting out their expectations and the actions firms should take. Depending on the outcome of these assessments in 2019 they have stated they will consider extending assessments to take in a wider range of firms in 2020.

As the Professional Body for the advice profession we have an ongoing role to continue to signpost good practice to firms and consumers alike. We have now updated our Good Practice Guide: A Practical Guide to Pension Transfers from Defined Benefit to Defined Contribution (July 2019) and have included a new section on the recently launched Pension Transfer Gold Standard designed primarily to help inform and empower consumers as well as how to recognise and find good financial planning advice in this market. If you are active in advising clients on Safeguarded Pension benefits, then we would encourage you to adopt the Pension Transfer Gold Standard as soon as you are confident that you can deliver against all of its nine Principles.

Keith Richards
Chief Executive Officer,
Personal Finance Society

Foreword
Advice Requirements

(This section covers the basic advice requirements from government and regulator, including specific reference to FCA PS 18/6, and PS 18/20, specific rules within which are already effective with the exception of pension transfer specialist qualifications and appropriate exam standards which will come into force on 1 October 2020).

Section 48 of the Pension Schemes Act 2015

This requires that trustees or scheme managers check that ‘appropriate independent advice’ has been taken before allowing a transfer to proceed, where the proposed transfer involves a DB pension, or other safeguarded benefits, worth more than £30,000.

For the purposes of the definition of ‘appropriate independent advice’ in section 48 (8) of the Act, the advice must be specific to the type of relevant transaction proposed by the member or survivor.

FCA permission and responsibility for advice

Only firms with the FCA permission to advise on pension transfers may do so. It is not acceptable for a firm without the permission to outsource the transfer analysis to a pension transfer specialist or to a firm with the permission and claim to be advising on the pension transfer.

A firm without the permission may refer a client needing pension transfer advice to a firm with the permission. However, it is not acceptable for that second firm to claim to be advising on the pension transfer without taking into account the assets in which the client’s funds will be invested as well as the specific receiving scheme. Where both firms may be responsible for different elements of advice given to the client, firms are expected to liaise for consistency.

For a firm with the permission, FCA rules permit an individual who is not a pension transfer specialist to advise on pension transfers. However, the firm must ensure that the advice is checked by a pension transfer specialist. The firm advising on the transfer remains responsible for the advice, including the advice checked by the pension transfer specialist (PTS), even where the pension transfer specialist is not employed by the firm.

FCA position on ‘Insistent Client’

An insistent client is a client who wishes to take a different course of action from the one you recommend and wants you to facilitate the transaction against your advice. Where clients are required to take advice (for example in relation to DB pensions and other safeguarded benefits) then some may decide to disregard that advice.

The FCA highlights 3 key steps to take when advising an insistent client:

1. You must provide advice that is suitable for the individual client and this advice must be clear to the client. Advice on pension transfers should follow the normal advice process for pension transfers.
2. You should be clear with the client what the risks of the alternative course of action are.
3. You should be clear with the client that their actions are against your advice.

The Personal Finance Society is of the view that as professionals, advisers should not facilitate a transfer against their own professional advice.

Those that choose to deal with ‘insistent clients’ are party to arranging an unsuitable solution and as such, might be deemed liable in the event of a future complaint in the absence of any guarantees or input from the regulator on how the Financial Ombudsman Service will interpret such claims.

In the meantime, we continue to urge the government and regulator to define acceptable actions where a client’s informed choice differs from the advisers view of ‘objectives: needs and wants’ and introduce new rules which safeguard advisers against future mis-selling claims from ‘insistent clients’.
Regulatory requirements for giving advice and assessing suitability

Fair Treatment of Customers

This should be the starting point for any adviser/firm when giving advice and assessing suitability. We refer readers specifically to COBS 9.2.1 – 9.2.7.

FCA’s guidance on the ‘starting assumption’ for providing advice on a DB transfer

Despite consulting on a change in starting position (CP 17/16), the FCA’s guidance for providing advice on a DB transfer within PS 18/6 continues to be that firms should start by assuming that the transfer is not suitable. A recommendation to transfer should only be made if this can be clearly shown to be demonstrably suitable and, in the client’s best interests.

Suitability guidance (COBS 19.1.6) states...

- When a firm is making a personal recommendation for a retail client who is, or is eligible to be, a member of a pension scheme with safeguarded benefits and who is considering whether to transfer, convert or opt-out, a firm should start by assuming that a transfer, conversion or opt-out will not be suitable.
- A firm should only consider a transfer, conversion or opt-out to be suitable if it can clearly demonstrate, on contemporary evidence, that the transfer, conversion or opt-out is in the retail client’s best interests.
- To demonstrate client best interest, the factors a firm should take into account include:
  1. the retail client’s intentions for accessing pension benefits;
  2. the retail client’s attitude to, and understanding of the risk of giving up safeguarded benefits (or potential safeguarded benefits) for flexible benefits;
  3. the retail client’s attitude to, and understanding of investment risk;
  4. the retail client’s realistic retirement income needs including:
     1. how they can be achieved;
     2. the role played by safeguarded benefits (or potential safeguarded benefits) in achieving them; and
     3. the consequent impact on those needs of a transfer, conversion or opt-out, including any trade-offs; and
  5. alternative ways to achieve the retail client’s objectives instead of the transfer, conversion or opt-out.

Whilst the ‘starting assumption’ may feel a little outdated to some in the post-pension freedoms market and at a time when many DB schemes are in deficit, its maintenance reflects the regulator’s concerns following recent supervisory work and until a further review of this assumption takes place, it should remain the starting point for any advice given.

A personal recommendation

PS 18/6 introduces the requirement (effective 1st April 2018) that all advice on the transfer and conversion of safeguarded benefits should include a personal recommendation to either transfer or remain in the current scheme.

Under COBS 9.2 it remains a firm’s responsibility to obtain the necessary information about the client so that a suitable recommendation can be made. If an adviser cannot get the necessary suitability, for example, income needs in retirement for a younger client, the adviser must not make a personal recommendation under the existing suitability requirements (COBS 9.2.6R).

In making personal recommendations, the firm will need to comply with FCA requirements regarding the suitability of the advice provided. The firm should make clear the loss of any safeguarded benefits and the consequent transfer of risk to the client, including:

- investment risk
- longevity risk, and
- the risk that products may not be available or cost effective to meet the client’s needs in retirement.

FCA commentary on the suitability of pension transfers (COBS 19.1.6(G)) clearly states that when a firm advises a retail client on a pension transfer it should consider the client’s attitude to risk including, where relevant, in relation to the rate of investment growth that would have to be achieved to replicate the benefits being given up.
Advice Requirements Continued

Assessing a client’s attitude to transfer risk

When advising on the transfer or conversion of safeguarded benefits the FCA expect advisers to focus on the client’s attitude to the features of both a safeguarded benefits scheme and of a flexible benefit scheme. A robust assessment of the client’s attitude to transfer risk is an essential part of the advice process. The assessment should be detailed enough for the adviser to form a view of features which are appropriate to each client’s personal circumstances.

Clients should be told about risks such as longevity risks and investment risks and should also consider sponsor insolvency risk in a balanced way so that any client biases and misconceptions are managed, for instance regarding the benefits provided by the PPF.

Expectations of the role of the Pension Transfer Specialist (PTS)

Only a PTS can give or check advice on pension transfers. It is not in line with FCA expectations that this be restricted to numerical analysis. PS 18/6 states that the Handbook has been updated to reflect the regulators requirements that the PTS should check the entirety of the advice process, including assessing and signing-off the receiving arrangements and funds, confirm that the personal recommendation is suitable and inform the firm in writing that they agree with the advice and any personal recommendation before any report is given to the client. This means that any disagreements between the PTS and the adviser must be settled and documented before the client is given the suitability report.

PS 18/20 introduced the requirement for every PTS to hold qualifications for both a PTS and for advising on investments to ensure sufficient knowledge to assess the suitability of a transfer, including the risk, returns and charges of the proposed scheme and underlying investments. This requirement is effective no later than 1st October 2020.

Taking account of the proposed destination of a client’s transfer funds/the ‘two-adviser model’

When advising on a pension transfer, the advice must take account of the proposed destination of the transfer funds if a transfer proceeded. This includes both the proposed scheme and the proposed investments in that scheme. The FCA rules do not prevent two separate advisers providing the pension transfer advice and the advice on the proposed receiving scheme and its investments. However, the FCA expect the two advisers to work with the same information about the client and have in place robust processes to ensure that this happens.

PS 18/20 confirmed proposals detailed in CP 18/7, namely that that both parties should work together to:

• collect necessary information, to inform both the pension transfer advice and the associated investment advice
• undertake risk profiling, which assesses both the client’s attitude to transfer risk and attitude to investment risk
• recognise that the investment advice should consider the impact of the loss of any safeguarded benefits on the client’s ability to take on investment risk

Suitability reports for negative recommendations

PS 18/20 confirms amendment of FCA rules so that firms are required to provide a suitability report, regardless of whether their advice results in a recommendation to transfer. The FCA consider that advising a client that it is not in their best interests to transfer, and setting out the reasons why, is just as valuable an outcome as a recommendation to transfer.

It also confirms amendments to Handbook guidance to clarify that firms should provide an advice confirmation for both positive and negative recommendations.

Analysis to support advice

With effect from 1st October 2018, the current transfer value analysis (TVAS) requirement will be replaced by a requirement to undertake an ‘appropriate pension transfer analysis’ (APTA) of the clients’ options that includes a prescribed Transfer Value Comparator (TVC) including the value of benefits being given up and the cost of purchasing the same income in a DC environment.

TVAS/APTA

PS 18/6 expressed the FCA’s view that, following modifications on the guidance on inducements for non-Mifid business to mirror more closely the new Mifid II inducement rules, it is unlikely that providing or accepting free TVAS or APTA software would fall within the narrower definition and ‘so should not be used’. At the time of writing, some providers have acknowledged this potential conflict of interest and have withdrawn free software accordingly.
The role of critical yield

The critical yield is the rate of return that would have to be achieved in the defined contribution (DC) pension scheme to replicate the benefits of the DB benefit scheme. The FCA has stated in the past a clear expectation that firms consider the likely expected returns of the assets in which the client’s funds will be invested relative to the critical yield. The firm should also consider the personal circumstances of the client before making any personal recommendation, taking into account specific other factors as they apply to the client.

The FCA’s supervisory work in the past has revealed that some firms have been recommending pension transfers based solely on whether the critical yield is below a certain rate set by the firm for assessing transfers generally. This does not meet the regulators expectations, specifically that firms consider the likely expected returns of the assets in which the client’s funds will be invested relative to the critical yield.

In addition, firms should be aware of the risks of using critical yield over uncertain future lifetimes where income would not be secure or where consumers may not understand it.

Following the introduction of the APTA and TVC, PS 18/6 states it is for firms to decide if a critical yield approach remains valid in some circumstances as part of a wider assessment.

Expectations regarding assets

The FCA expects a firm advising on a pension transfer from a defined benefit (DB) scheme or other scheme with safeguarded benefits to consider the assets in which the client’s funds will be invested as well as the specific receiving scheme. It is the responsibility of the firm advising on the transfer to take into account the characteristics of these assets.

FCA rules set out what a firm must do in preparing a comparison. In particular, their rules (COBS 19.1.2R(1)) require a comparison between the benefits likely (on reasonable assumptions) to be paid under a DB scheme or other scheme with safeguarded benefits and the benefits afforded by a personal pension scheme, stakeholder scheme or other pension scheme with flexible benefits.

The comparison should explain the rates of return that would have to be achieved to replicate the benefits being given up and should be illustrated on rates of return which take into account the likely expected returns of the assets in which the client’s funds will be invested. Unless the advice has taken into account the likely expected returns of the assets, as well as the associated risks and all costs and charges that will be borne by the client, it is unlikely that the advice will meet FCA expectations (see guidance at COBS 19.1.2 and 19.1.6-19.1.8).

What this means is that a firm advising on a pension transfer should not undertake a comparison using generic assumptions for hypothetical receiving schemes. The firm must take into account the likely expected returns of the assets in which the client’s funds will be invested as well as the specific receiving scheme.

The Appropriate Pension Transfer Analysis (APTA)

An effective APTA should help to demonstrate the suitability of the personal recommendation in the context of the customers objectives; needs and wants, attitude and ability to manage risk, capacity for and resilience to loss and attitude to investment risk.

The FCA have not been overly prescriptive in terms of providing a detailed framework for the APTA (see COBS 19 Annex 4A & 4C), although PS 18/6 refers to the rules within CP 17/16 as ‘an appropriate level of direction’ and ‘...do not limit the adviser’s flexibility to complete the analysis in a way which fits a client’s individual circumstances’.

CP17/6 states the APTA should include:

• An assessment of the client’s outgoings and therefore potential income needs throughout retirement
• The role of the ceding and receiving scheme in meeting those income needs, in addition to any other means available to the client – effectively obtaining an understanding of the client’s potential cash flows
• Consideration of death benefits on a fair basis
• The mandatory use of the Transfer Value Comparator.

In addition, Handbook changes include a new rule to clarify that the APTA must consider a reasonable period beyond average life expectancy, particularly where a longer period would better demonstrate the risks of the funds running out.

Stochastic models are currently used mostly to demonstrate future cash flows. The role they might play in preparing an APTA is considered within PS 18/6 where it states (page 22) ‘we have added Handbook guidance that a stochastic model can be used as part of an APTA as long as the outcomes at the 50th percentile are at least as cautious as the outcomes from using the assumptions in COBS 19 Annex 4C’.

The wider use of software (including cashflow modelling) is recognised, but the FCA have stressed again that the limitations of such software cannot be used to limit the adviser’s responsibility for providing suitable advice. As such, the adviser/firm should take all necessary steps to fully understand the limitations of software being used and ensure these limitations are taken into account when assessing suitability.
The Transfer Value Comparator (TVC)

The TVC will be mandatory within the APTA and should be seen as the starting point for demonstrating the value of the DB scheme to consumers, with the suggestion from the regulator that it will be easier to understand than critical yield. It is designed to show in graphic form:

1. The Cash Equivalent Transfer Value (CETV) offered by the DB scheme
2. The estimated value needed to replicate the clients DB income in a DC environment, where the result of a recommendation would be the purchase of an equivalent annuity.

Whilst the TVC is heavily prescribed in format, the FCA expects firms to fully account for customers personal circumstances when preparing the APTA. When undertaking an APTA or preparing a TVC firms need to make financial and demographic assumptions to project future benefits from the current to receiving schemes. Firms should familiarise themselves with these assumptions by reference to the Handbook as well as 3.20 – 3.29 within PS 18/6.

Pension increase assumptions

The Transfer Value Comparator (TVC) requires advisers to make assumptions about the inflationary increases applied to DB scheme benefits when valuing these benefits. PS 18/20 confirmed a change to the assumptions to use where minimum (collars) and maximum (caps) rates apply to inflationary increases. To prevent pension increases being overvalued the FCA require that firms should assume fixed rate increases at the collar, for collars above the relevant RPI/CPI rate; and at the cap, for caps below the RPI/CPI rate. All other increases should be valued at RPI/CPI.

Overseas transfers

Where a client lives overseas and is considering transferring safeguarded benefits abroad, the advice needs to be detailed and PS 18/6 makes it clear that the FCA expects firms to pay particular attention to the characteristics of the transfer and destination that make it different to a UK pension transfer. These should include the levels of return and local inflation rates, fluctuations in exchange rates, levels of charges on overseas arrangements, different tax considerations, different legislative frameworks and local levels of protection (e.g. FSCS equivalent).

Where the advice cannot get sufficient understanding of the above, the adviser should point out the limitations of the advice and consider whether they are able to provide it.
Adviser Good Practice

(This section looks at suggested current good practice in respect of key advice considerations)

1. Sequence of process
Advisers should be aware of the importance of how the advice process is delivered. For example, we know from studies of behavioural finance that seeing or focusing on ‘the big number’ too early in the process is likely to build ‘present bias’, potentially undermining any informed decision. Good practice should start with the probability of a transfer not being in the client’s best interests and discussing inherent risks before any analysis of individual suitability. In this respect, a good and widely available triage service could perform a valuable function.

2. Understand the DB Scheme
Advisers should be familiar with and seek to fully understand the DB Schemes from which a transfer is being made, their benefit structures and variances. In extreme cases where a scheme is in danger of entering the Pension Protection Fund is the protected amount under the PPF much lower than the amount of the transfer value?

3. Making an informed decision
The adviser should help clients make an ‘informed decision’ in respect of a transfer, and make sure the client understands the comparison and advice given, not just to simply deliver advice. If the customer cannot demonstrate and evidence they understand what the adviser is saying or writing, there can be no informed decision.

4. Education/Triage service
Whilst a triage service is not a regulatory requirement, in PS 18/20 the FCA state their view that triage can be useful in terms of generic information but expressed concern that some forms of triage were straying into the provision of personal recommendations. Good practice should take account of the perimeter guidance in CP 18/7 and ensure guidance services, such as triage, are educational and present a balanced view of the advantages and disadvantages of transferring. Even if a client tells a firm about their personal circumstances, if the firm wishes to avoid giving advice it should not comment at the triage stage on whether they should consider a transfer based on this information. If an adviser gives an opinion on how a consumer’s individual circumstances may affect advice on transferring, it is more likely that regulated advice is being provided.

The FCA have also stated in CP 18/7 that they think it would be helpful in any triage service for firms to explain the transfer process and the total charges that might be incurred, both if a transfer proceeds and if it does not. They also state they consider it good practice for firms to keep records where triage has been provided and the form that it takes.

5. Fully assess both ‘harder’ and ‘softer’ facts
Some reasons why a member might wish to transfer relate to lifestyle factors rather than whether the DB or DC alternative will pay a comparable or higher income. As such, analysis of ‘softer’ factors such as risk appetite, health, marital status and dependants need to be considered alongside ‘harder’ facts such as income levels, TVAS (or APTA) analysis, cash flow modelling etc. Suitability Reports should record the ‘colour’ as well as the detail or facts surrounding the client’s circumstances, particularly their needs and objectives. Some repetition in asking ‘why?’ should help record an appropriate level of detail on file: for example, exactly why does a client want greater flexibility in terms of benefits?

6. Consider the wider tax issues of the client
A common reason to transfer benefits from a DB to DC scheme is to defer income, often to avoid paying unnecessary income tax. However, a DB transfer can result in taxation issues for the client, particularly where high transfer values are involved. For example, the assessment against the lifetime allowance is often more favourable for a DB scheme pension than for crystallised or uncrystallised personal pension.

In addition, any potential impact on IHT will need to be taken into account, not just in terms of where PCLS is taken or is planned to be taken, but also where an individual dies within two years of making a transfer. In such circumstances, the executors of the person’s will are required to report this to HMRC and where the person was in normal health, HMRC deems there no loss to the estate. However, if the person knew they were seriously ill when the transfer took place (for example, expecting to live for less than two years) then an IHT charge can arise. So, if death benefits are a key driver due to the ill health of a member, being aware of the IHT position is critical (albeit that transferring could still be the best outcome even after an IHT charge).

Firms should also follow revised FCA guidance (Handbook) – PS 18/6 – requiring advisers to consider the impact of tax and access to state benefits, particularly where there would be a financial impact from crossing a tax threshold/band.
7. Ensure DB transfer matches client’s attitude to, and ability to manage risk

A transfer from a DB scheme to a DC pension almost always involves a higher amount of risk for the client. DB pension schemes place no personal investment risk on the client, whereas all of the risk is borne by the client under a DC arrangement. It is not possible to set a minimum attitude to risk for which a DB transfer would appropriate, but it is important that risk is taken fully into account when making a recommendation. Whilst the mandatory TVC assumes the use of investment returns consistent with a client’s attitude to risk, ongoing use of critical yields within TVAS do not, so it is important the overall recommendation takes attitude and ability to manage risk into account.

CP 18/7 also states that a focus on the investment risks alone do not adequately address the transfer risk, with the expectation that advisers focus on the client’s attitude to both the features of a safeguarded benefits scheme and the features of a flexible benefits scheme.

8. Analysis of clients’ retirement income needs

It is critical that a client’s income need in retirement (and the income needs of their spouse/partner) are taken into account. In the context of retirement advice an understanding of income needs in retirement is needed in order to make a personal recommendation and this is less likely to be possible the further the client is from their expected retirement. When advising on potential DB transfers, the file should record an analysis of the client’s income needs in retirement and show how or whether this is likely to be achievable both pre and post transfer. If analysis suggests that the client’s income needs cannot be met in the context of their attitude and ability to manage risk, then it is almost certain, in the absence of strong additional factors such as serious ill health with shortened life expectancy, that the transfer will not be in the client’s best interest.

Where any recommendation to transfer is based (in part or solely) on the client having sufficient income and assets out with their DB scheme to support a comfortable lifestyle in retirement, the adviser should have robust evidence and analysis to back up this assertion.

9. Analysis of sustainability of income/cash flow modelling

The adviser should ensure they have documented the client’s income objectives, needs and wants, challenging and scrutinising whether expectations and assumptions made are realistic. Where the adviser feels the client is making unrealistic assumptions, these should be documented, and the client’s attention brought specifically to these aspects, with supporting evidence as to why the adviser feels these assumptions are unlikely to be borne out in reality.

Some clients may underestimate both the level of income they will need in retirement, the effects of inflation and their own life expectancy. Income planning should always be in the context of the analysed objectives: needs and wants, with an alternative plan put forward which demonstrates where possible how the client might achieve their requirements whilst minimising risk.

Before any recommendation to transfer from a DB scheme is made, it is important that the file can show that clients and their spouse/partner will have sufficient income for life, considering inflation, using some form of cash flow modelling. Within this, consideration of the level of secure income a client is likely to need to meet essential living expenses and advice to ensure these are covered as far as possible with secure income should be made.

There are several online tools which can assist advisers in demonstrating the sustainability of income, for example cash flow modellers. The adviser is reminded that the objective is an ‘informed decision’ so careful consideration is needed as to how the information is provided to the client. If the adviser considers the client cannot make an informed decision, then the personal recommendation should be to remain in the Safeguarded arrangement.

Advisers should also be mindful that the new APTA must consider a reasonable period beyond average life expectancy statistics at the point of advice.

10. ‘Two-adviser model’

The FCA considers it good practice to carry out effective due diligence on partner firms, agree processes and make any arrangements clear to the client. In turn the client should be able understand the role of the two advisers, as well as their respective charging structures and how to make a future complaint about services provided by either firm.

11. Use of technology

Technology should be used is such a way that the adviser/firm can clearly demonstrate the consumer is able to interpret and understand any output and that such output evidentially contributes to an informed decision, not simply a technically focused suitability report.
12. Clear capital requirements
Where a client has a need for capital, and where a transfer from a DB scheme taking immediate PCLS are being considered, it is important that the reason/s for wanting capital is/are made clear, and that a full breakdown is provided on the file. It is also important that the file shows that all available and alternative options for raising capital have been considered.

13. Consideration of death benefits
Good practice in this area should involve clarity in stating whether the objective for wanting to increase death benefits is focused on the client’s spouse, other dependants or both. The death benefit analysis should be summarised in the suitability report and the importance of the figures explained in this context.

14. Consideration of using life assurance as an alternative to transfer when death benefits are required
If one of the client’s drivers for a transfer of a DB scheme is to leave a legacy behind for beneficiaries, then it is important to consider and research life assurance options as an alternative to meet this need. Files should show that the life assurance market has been researched to obtain appropriate products and rates, and that these have been presented to and discussed with the client. It could certainly be the case that clients may not wish to pay what could be a high premium for cover, but consideration should be given to the relative attraction of taking income from the DB scheme and using this to pay for a life assurance product.

Advisers should show cost of life cover in cash-flow planning, in terms of keeping the DB scheme and effecting a transfer, and compare the two scenarios via cash-flow planning.

15. Comparison of benefits in suitability report
The Suitability Report (SR) should include the retirement benefits available from the existing scheme, in monetary terms. This should then be compared against the likely benefits available via the alternative arrangement. In doing so, it should clearly explain, in a way that is personalised to the client, why the recommended course of action meets his/her objectives.

See FCA COBS 19.1.2 to 19.1.4 (inclusive) for the list of requirements where a comparison is being provided for the client.

16. No over reliance on standard terms and lack of personalisation
Firms should focus on the quality of report writing to avoid a ‘cut and paste’ and/or commoditised approach to suitability and personal recommendation.

Where a client’s objectives are to achieve “flexibility” of retirement income, or “control” of their pension, it is important to determine what the client is trying to achieve, and to investigate what they may mean by “flexibility”, or “control”, as well as their reasons for wanting this.

Firms offering a commoditised approach to pension transfer advice (which does not entail a complete analysis of a client’s personal circumstances or needs and may include some generic assumptions to arrive at a personal recommendation) should be seen as unacceptable, given it is deemed by the FCA to represent significant increased risk of providing unsuitable advice.

17. Consideration of safety nets
Firms should follow revised FCA guidance (Handbook) on considering the safety nets provided by the Pension Protection Fund and the Financial Services Compensation Scheme in the UK, covering both the current and receiving scheme in a balanced and objective manner.

18. Scheme funding position
Firms should follow revised FCA guidance (Handbook) that if information is provided on scheme funding or employer covenants, it should be balanced and objective.
19. Advice should be clearly stated (the file must not appear to show “order taking”)

The requirement for clients to receive advice on DB transfers can mean that some clients will approach advisers with a clear idea of what they are looking to achieve, and may perceive the advice process as an obstacle, rather than a valued service. This does not mean, however, that the requirement to demonstrate suitability is any different to a case for an established client, where the advice is valued.

In all cases, the adviser should be able to communicate the key costs, risks, potential consequences and benefits of the transfer, along with bespoke member suitability within a concise report. Reference to ‘unknowns’ should also be made, such as future changes in legislation.

20. Contingent charging

Where used to cover the review and recommendation (as well as the transaction) and by not separating out the cost of a review and recommendation from the transaction itself, contingent charging implies that the adviser will either be offering a review/recommendation service for free or a transfer is the inevitable outcome – the adviser is conflicted in much the same way as he/she is if they deal with insistent clients. With DB transfers consideration should be given to separating the initial review and recommendation via a separate charge/fee, especially given the ongoing ‘starting assumption’ that a transfer is unlikely to be in the clients’ best interests. In respect of other forms of advice where the starting point is the need to do something (e.g. make a suitable investment), contingent charging is far less of a risk but in the case of DB transfers where there is a strong possibility that a transfer is unsuitable, it is in our view inappropriate.

Please note: the FCA have issued CP 19/25 on 30/7/2019 in which they are consulting on changes to contingent charging applied to DC to DB transfers, proposing a band on adviser charges that are only payable when a transfer or conversion is implemented. The only exception proposed is a carve-out in respect of clients in serious ill-health and/or serious financial hardship.

21. Part of a wider, full financial planning service

Streamlined advice is unlikely to be achievable for pension transfers and in our view good practice should include the starting assumption that it is not possible to advise on DB transfers using a streamlined approach. Indeed, where practical, advice on a DB transfer is best done in the context of a full financial planning service that:

• Takes into account the client’s wider circumstances
• Takes account of all a client’s assets, liabilities, income and expenditure
• Tests outcome with reference to cashflow modelling
• Is subject to ongoing review.

22. Consideration of financial dependants

There is, generally, an imbalance in pension savings between men and women which reflects the gender pay gap and the differences in rates of employment between men and women.

As a result, women are more likely to depend on their spouse’s pension income in retirement, an income which is not in their name and over which they have no direct influence. This may also be the case within same sex relationships if there have been, for example, uneven roles in parenting.

When advising a client who is part of a long term, financially interdependent relationship (marriage, civil partnership or other long-term relationship) it is good practice to ensure that the needs of the client’s partner are considered when assessing options to transfer or in any way alter pension benefits. This is particularly important where safeguarded benefits are concerned.
The Pension Transfer Gold Standard

Consumers need to be able to know they have access to advice and to advisers they can trust. They also need help in recognising professional standards and good practice and what they should expect by way of the advice process and outcomes.

In April 2019, the Pension Advice Taskforce (PAT), an industry-wide representative body whose purpose is to raise advice standards and enhance consumer protection in areas of complex pension advice, launched the Pension Transfer Gold Standard (PTGS), a voluntary code of good practice for safeguarded and defined benefit pension transfer advice, based around the following set of principles:

1. Helping clients understand when advice is appropriate
2. Ensuring advice given supports the clients’ overall wellbeing in the context of their stated objectives
3. Ensuring client understanding and acceptance of all charges
4. Ensuring the most appropriate and updated technical skills are applied
5. Transparent management of Conflicts of Interest
6. Helping clients understand the cost of transferring benefits
7. Avoiding unregulated investments and introducers
8. Transparency in advice processes and outcomes

For those adviser firms who adopt the PTGS it provides a means of differentiation, demonstrating to consumers and market participants such as PI Insurers a clear intent on behalf of a firm to go the extra miles in demonstrating a duty to do the right thing for consumers.

Further details of the PTGS including how advice firms can sign up and adopt the principles can be found via the following:

The adviser landing page – thepfs.org/ptgs
Consumers can read about the PTGS and access both short and long versions of the consumer guide via the following:

The consumer landing page – thepfs.org/ptgsconsumer

The Gold Standard is primarily about empowering consumers and setting clear expectations of what to expect from financial advice. The success of this initiative will ultimately be measured against the practical extent to which it helps consumers understand what they should expect from good quality advice, how to recognise it and how to access it.
## Appendix – The Rules and regulatory source material

### FCA communications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date (last updated)</th>
<th>Nature of FCA communication</th>
<th>Content</th>
<th>Link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19/6/2019</td>
<td>Multi-firm reviews</td>
<td>Defined benefit pension transfers - market-wide data results</td>
<td><a href="https://www.fca.org.uk/publications/multi-firm-reviews/defined-benefit-pension-transfers">https://www.fca.org.uk/publications/multi-firm-reviews/defined-benefit-pension-transfers</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26/3/2018</td>
<td>Policy Statement PS 18/6</td>
<td>‘Advising on Pension Transfers – feedback on CP 17/16 and final rules and guidance’. New rules and guidance on how advice should be provided to consumers on pension transfers where consumers are considering giving up safeguarded benefits, primarily for transfers from defined benefit to defined contribution pension schemes.</td>
<td><a href="https://www.fca.org.uk/publications/policy-statements/ps18-6-advising-pension-transfers">https://www.fca.org.uk/publications/policy-statements/ps18-6-advising-pension-transfers</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24/1/2017</td>
<td>Firm</td>
<td>Highlights FCA requirements when providing advice on pension transfers, including advice circumstances.</td>
<td><a href="https://www.fca.org.uk/news/news-stories/advising-pension-transfers-our-expectations">https://www.fca.org.uk/news/news-stories/advising-pension-transfers-our-expectations</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17/1/2017</td>
<td>Firm</td>
<td>The FCA expects to consult during Q1 2017 on updating the pension transfer redress methodology</td>
<td><a href="https://www.fca.org.uk/news/statements/fca-statement-redress-methodology-pension-transfers">https://www.fca.org.uk/news/statements/fca-statement-redress-methodology-pension-transfers</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/12/2016</td>
<td>Firm</td>
<td>What the FCA considers’ to be good and poor practice when advising insistent clients</td>
<td><a href="https://www.fca.org.uk/firms/pension-reforms-insistent-clients/good-poor-practice">https://www.fca.org.uk/firms/pension-reforms-insistent-clients/good-poor-practice</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Appendix - The Rules and regulatory source material**

**FCA communications - continued**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date (last updated)</th>
<th>Nature of FCA communication</th>
<th>Content</th>
<th>Link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/6/2016</td>
<td>Consumer</td>
<td>FCA consumer guidance on what to consider if thinking about transferring a defined benefit pension, or if you are moving or combining a defined contribution pension.</td>
<td><a href="https://www.fca.org.uk/consumers/pension-transfer">https://www.fca.org.uk/consumers/pension-transfer</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/6/2016</td>
<td>Firm</td>
<td>Help in understanding the FCA’s position on insistent clients, following the pension reforms in 2015.</td>
<td><a href="https://www.fca.org.uk/firms/pension-reforms-insistent-clients">https://www.fca.org.uk/firms/pension-reforms-insistent-clients</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/6/2015</td>
<td>COBS 19.1</td>
<td>Pension transfers, conversions, and opt-outs</td>
<td><a href="https://www.handbook.fca.org.uk/handbook/COBS/19/1.html">https://www.handbook.fca.org.uk/handbook/COBS/19/1.html</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legislation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Nature of FCA communication</th>
<th>Link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>